

Message

From: Henry A Anderson [anderha@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: 8/13/2020 7:18:40 PM
To: Wong, Diana [Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov]
CC: Kenneth Portier [kenneth.portier@gmail.com]; anderha@scbglobal.net
Subject: RE: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report
Attachments: SACC Asbestos WORD Report concurrence 08092020[139918] - HAA edits.docx

See attached. Look forward to next (last?) iteration. But please give more lead time if you want replies. We are in the last stretch available for vacation.

Andy

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Wong, Diana
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 12:22 PM
To: Henry A Anderson
Cc: Kenneth Portier; anderha@scbglobal.net
Subject: RE: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report

Hello Andy,

Attached please see the concurrence version in Word. We are putting together the revised version by tomorrow. Thanks.

Diana

From: Henry A Anderson <anderha@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020 1:18 PM
To: Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov>
Cc: Kenneth Portier <kenneth.portier@gmail.com>; anderha@scbglobal.net
Subject: RE: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report

Diana, Ken,

I am back home. Had to give up my plan to electronically compare the initial report with the new one because of all the new recommendations and some reorganization. But helpful to see the comments received from others.

The document is fitting together better and I agree with the new recommendations.

I would say I am in the "concur with minor edits" group. Mostly my concerns remain with statements that are too broad that leave them open to different interpretations. But I will give up on most of these and hope interpretations are appropriate by readers.

I continue to have concerns about using comments from the public and then having to respond to them. There are no conflict of interest or bias statements for the commenters and unlike most EPASAB meetings the committee members were not asked to indicate any conflicts at the start of the SACC meeting. Given the millions of dollars spent by the auto industry and to a lesser extent plaintiff attorneys to purchase studies (at least the report now mentions that we prefer EPA not use studies done solely to support litigation) and hire expert witnesses it is not unexpected that litigation contested issues would appear. We spent too much energy on the issue of whether asbestos containing brake pads are

still available. I think the committee response is well done. In addition the DRE mentions that there is one automobile manufacturer that uses asbestos brake pads but only on one automobile for export only. And it also mentions that asbestos containing gaskets are currently used in UTVs. These points were never raised. But I think the issue is adequately covered.

If you send me a WORD version of the document it will be easier for me to suggest my minor edits as adding notes to the PDF version you sent is harder for me as I only have the "free" version of Adobe Acrobat so can't really edit pdfs. If you get it to me still today I can put my small edits in and get it back to you either tonight or early tomorrow.

Thanks for accommodating my still hectic schedule and equipment limitations.

Andy

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Wong, Diana
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 1:28 PM
To: Henry Anderson
Cc: Kenneth Portier
Subject: RE: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report

Hello Andy,

Attached please find the summary of responses to comments by panel members to the latest draft. Our chair prepared the summary.

Your proposed paragraph at the beginning of the executive summary is in the revised draft report. I don't think there were objections to your proposed changes to the revised draft. Thanks.

Diana

From: Henry Anderson <anderha@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:03 PM
To: Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov>
Cc: Kenneth Portier <kenneth.portier@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report

Diana, Ken,

I am in the field with only my cell phone and agency computers and won't have access to my Personal computer And stored files until Thursday. I need to be able to compare the previous version Containing my edits and Ken's with this new one so I can see how you handled my edits and comments. I also need to see what edits were made in response to other committee members. They may disagree with me and visa versa. If so how were these resolved?

Do you have a version that has all proposed committee changes in Trac change? A copy of that would be most useful. one with comments as to why some suggestions were not accepted in this new version would also help. Without seeing all the proposed changes it is like working in the dark and hard to determine if I am an outlier.

How many committee members sent in edits or comments?

If you can't wait until Friday then you will need to consider me a "do not concur".

Thanks.

Andy
Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 9, 2020, at 5:22 PM, Wong, Diana <Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov> wrote:

Dear TSCA Asbestos Panel Members,

Attached please find the near final draft of the asbestos report.

Please send an email to me and the chair by **Tuesday, 8/11/2020**, and let us know if you: 1) concur; 2)concur with minor edits; or 3) non-concur with this report. Thank you all for your hard work.

Best Regards,
Diana

Diana Wong, Ph. D., DABT
Toxicologist and Designated Federal Officer
USEPA
Science Advisory Board Staff Office
MC: 1400R
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20460

<SACC Asbestos Report concurrence 08092020.pdf>